

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/584,366	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HORINOUCI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Maureen M. Wallenhorst	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797	

**All Participants:**

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Kathryn Piffat (Appl. Rep.).

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 February 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1, 6*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend claims 1 and 6 to recite a comparison of the ratio to a standard ratio in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment,*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)